

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/566,052	HOFFMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL LA VILLA	1784	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL LA VILLA.

(2) Mr. Marantidis, Attny..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 15, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment that accompanies the Notice of Allowability. The amendments to Claims 1 and 17 rectify informalities. Claim 15 is canceled because the claimed subject matter is claimed in Claim 8

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MICHAEL LA VILLA/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1784

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)